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## Background

- Teamwork has become the norm in contemporary science
- Collaboration can also require resources (e.g. salaries, travel expenses, etc.)
- Positive correlation between funding and collaboration (Bozeman \& Corley, 2004; Smith \& Katz, 2000).
- Funding policies often encourage collaboration (e.g., Katz \& Martin, 1997; Lee \& Bozeman, 2005).
- Researchers seek to collaborate with funded colleagues in order to access resources (Melin, 2000).
- Funding can be used to hire more staff (e.g., research assistants, post-docs) or invite researchers.
- It also allows scholars to attend conferences.


## Purpose of this study

- Investigate the causal relationship between Quebec researchers' funding and their network size and teams' size, for all research fields.


## Data

- Funding
- All funding for all Quebec's university professors from 1998 to 2012
- 1.2 billion dollars in total funding
- 900 funding organizations
- Data includes :
- PI names and institutions
- Funding amount
- Year
- Type of funding (eg. grant, contract)
- Professors
- Database of Quebec professors including PhD year.
- Publications
- All articles, notes and reviews from WoS between 2000 and 2013.
- Collaboration measured in terms of co-authorship.


## Methods

- Classification of researchers in 4 disciplines (AH, Health, NSE, SS)
- Based on the discipline of the journal in which researchers published most of their papers.
- This study is limited to researchers in Health, NSE and SS.
- Control for academic age and for previous funding
- Only authors who received their PhD between 2000 and 2005
- Resulting sample
- 81 researchers in Health
- 264 researchers in SS
- 166 researchers in NSE
- Ranked by total funding received and grouped in bins of 10.


## Metrics

- Total amount of funding received
- Average team size
- Average number of co-authors on researchers' publications.
- Network size (pre-funding, post-funding and total)
- Number of distinct co-authors on researchers' publications.
- Network growth
- post-funding network size / pre-funding network size.
- Funded collaborators
- Number of pre-funding collaborators who received funding
- Amount of funding received by previous collaborators


## Correlation between funding and collaboration (median)
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## Effect of funding on collaboration (median)



## Effect of collaboration on funding (average)



## Discussion

- Funding is positively correlated with network size and team size in all disciplines in Quebec (except AH).
- Mutual influence of funding and collaboration practices.
- Researchers with more funding have more new collaborators.
- Researchers with more collaborators receive more funding.
- Researchers who worked with funded collaborators are more likely to receive funding, and to reveice greater amounts of funds.
- Capital goes to capital.

Further developments

- Isolate papers with funding acknowledgements.
- Explore the diffusion of funding through collaborative networks.


## Thank you!
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